

Bayfront Charter High School

830 Bay Blvd. • Chula Vista, CA 91911 • 619-934-7000 • Grades K-12
Dr. Kevin Riley, Principal

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year

Mueller Charter School

District Governing Board

Leslie Ray Bunker

Armando Farias

Laurie K. Humphrey

Eduardo Reyes, Ed.D.

Francisco Tamayo

District Administration

Francisco Escobedo, Ed.D.

Superintendent

Jeffrey Thiel, Ed.D.

**Assistant Superintendent, Human
Resources Services and Support**

Oscar Esquivel

**Deputy Superintendent, Business
Services and Support**

Matthew Tessier, Ed.D.

**Assistant Superintendent,
Innovation and Instruction Services
and Support**

School Description

Mission Statement:

"Bayfront Charter High School illuminates multiple pathways toward each student's college, career and life aspirations through our culture of high expectations, caring and support, and opportunities for meaningful participation."

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	148
Grade 1	117
Grade 2	125
Grade 3	112
Grade 4	117
Grade 5	128
Grade 6	121
Grade 7	98
Grade 8	81
Grade 9	132
Grade 10	129
Grade 11	88
Grade 12	81
Total Enrollment	1,477

2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1
Asian	0.4
Filipino	1.6
Hispanic or Latino	84.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
White	8.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80.4
English Learners	37.1
Students with Disabilities	8.8
Foster Youth	0.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials			
Bayfront Charter High School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	15		
Without Full Credential	1		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	NA		
Mueller Charter School	16-17	17-18	18-19
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Bayfront Charter High School	16-17	17-18	18-19
Teachers of English Learners	0		
Total Teacher Misassignments	0		
Vacant Teacher Positions	0		

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

As of the 2016 - 2017 academic school year our school site has added exit doors to each classroom for emergencies, a fire alarm, a black box theatre for student performances, and a new seating area for students to have a space they can work with their laptops from and learn from one another. Our school site is also adding another set of restrooms with locker space so students who have PE can stop their belongings during class.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected:		
System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Constructing additional restrooms
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
Overall Rating		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
ELA	59.0	62.0	62.0	65.0	48.0	50.0
Math	44.0	49.0	49.0	51.0	37.0	38.0

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the

standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18	16-17	17-18
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

Grade Level	2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	15.6	39.1	23.4
7	24.0	24.0	36.5

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	746	733	98.26	61.66
Male	365	360	98.63	58.89
Female	381	373	97.90	64.34
Black or African American	26	26	100.00	65.38
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	662	652	98.49	60.12
White	38	35	92.11	77.14
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	670	662	98.81	60.88
English Learners	409	400	97.80	56.75
Students with Disabilities	71	69	97.18	26.09
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven				
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	746	739	99.06	49.39
Male	365	361	98.9	54.02
Female	381	378	99.21	44.95
Black or African American	26	26	100	48
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	662	657	99.24	48.48
White	38	36	94.74	63.89
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	670	666	99.4	47.89
English Learners	409	407	99.51	46.68
Students with Disabilities	71	69	97.18	14.49
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

Parents are actively involved in our Community Counsel which meets regularly to discuss opportunities for student success and to keep everyone updated on school related logistics including, budget updates, construction on building, partnerships with outside organizations, new programs, events, and more.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The School Site Council (Leadership Council) approved the School Safety Plan in October 2016, with additional updates and modifications added in November 2016.

Suspensions and Expulsions

School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	0.9	0.9	0.9
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	0.6	0.6	0.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
State	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Suspensions Rate	3.7	3.7	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)

Academic Counselor	2
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	2
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	1
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	160

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
K	22	20	20	1	1	2	10	10	9			
2	18	22	22	1			5	4	5			
3	22	22	22				6	6	6			
4	28	27	27				4	5	4			
5	32	32	32				4	5	5	1		
6	26	32	28	1			4	3	5		1	
Other	22	22					1	1				

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
English	23.0	28.0	21.0	2		6	4	7	10		1	
Mathematics			20.0			9			8			
Science	24.0	26.0	18.0	2	1	6	3	7	4			
Social Science	19.0	24.0	25.0	6	4	6		6	7			2

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

We have professional development days every Friday and they focus on student achievement based on assessment data, community suggestions for programming, restorative practices as a school culture, use of technology as educators, diversity training, RSP support in classrooms, partnering with outside organizations to create student opportunities and more. Teachers also have department chairs who offer individual support and training in lesson design.

FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,472	\$50,084
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74,639	\$80,256
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,421	\$100,154
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$131,180	\$125,899
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$130,255
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$292,319	\$222,447
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	37.0	37.0
Administrative Salaries	5.0	5.0

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	6088	1217	4870	72000
District	♦	♦	6,990.07	\$77,385
State	♦	♦	\$7,125	\$80,910
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-35.8	-0.2
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-29.8	-8.5

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Bayfront Charter High School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate			--
Graduation Rate			--
Mueller Charter School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate			3.1
Graduation Rate			95.3
California	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Dropout Rate	10.7	9.7	9.1
Graduation Rate	82.3	83.8	82.7

2017-18 Advanced Placement Courses

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	♦
English	1	♦
Fine and Performing Arts	0	♦
Foreign Language	0	♦
Mathematics	0	♦
Science	2	♦
Social Science	1	♦
All courses	4	2.4

Career Technical Education Programs

We will be adding our 12th grade class next year and this January we are partnering with Southwestern College to bring in a Personal Growth college course which has elements of career preparation.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.